

# **UK Programmatic In-Housing:**

Adoption Rate, Benefits, Depth, and Types of In-House Buying Functions Versus Europe

**APRIL 2019** 

Sponsored by



**Accenture** Interactive

This report was produced by the IAB. The final report, findings, and recommendations were not influenced by external sponsors.






#### **ACKNOWLEDGMENTS**

This report would not have been possible without oversight from the IAB Data Center of Excellence board members and the collaboration and financial support of our sponsor, Accenture Interactive.

The final report, findings, and recommendations were not influenced by external sponsors.

#### **Board Members**



#### Sponsored by

#### **Accenture** Interactive

Additionally, we extend our deepest appreciation to all the marketers, publishers, technology developers, and service providers that have contributed their time, insight, and enthusiasm in support of this report.

#### The Author

Gerard Broussard, Principal Pre-Meditated Media, LLC

#### **IAB Contact**

Orchid Richardson VP & Managing Director IAB Data Center of Excellence orchid@iab.com



# **TABLE OF CONTENTS**

INTRODUCTION	3
Executive Summary	3
Background, Objectives and Defining Programmatic	5
PROLIFERATION OF PROGRAMMATIC	6
DRIVERS OF PROGRAMMATIC IN-HOUSING	10
THE DEGREES OF PROGRAMMATIC IN-HOUSING	11
FIVE THINGS BRANDS SHOULD DO	13
NAVIGATING GDPR	15
APPENDIX	20



#### INTRODUCTION

#### **Executive Summary**

- UK Programmatic In-Housing Report Overview This report provides survey results on brands' adoption rate of programmatic in-housing, in-housing drivers, type and depth of inhouse buying functions, and General Data Protection Regulation (GDPR) impact focusing on the UK within the context of four other European markets: France, Germany, Italy, and Spain. Also included are insights into the drivers and challenges of in-housing, based on one-on-one interviews conducted among UK and other European-based brand advertisers actively involved in programmatic buying.
- Programmatic in the UK an Ingrained Buying Process Accounting for nearly 90 percent of the UK's display ad spending, programmatic is the predominant digital ad placement process. As digital marketing and advertising investments surpass other media forms, programmatic in-housing becomes attractive for brands that desire to more closely control their digital advertising strategy and outcomes.

#### **European Brand Discussion Insights**

These key directional drivers emerged during brand interviews:

- **Brand Destiny** Brands emphasized the merits of in-housing as a way to control their own strategic destinies that lead to growth acceleration
- **Globalization** Brands with worldwide footprints are beginning to coordinate in-house efforts across markets as part of multi-year plans
- **GDPR Impact** All brands have experienced nominal GDPR impact on their businesses; according to one brand, the regulations helped to improve data quality
- Expanding In-Housing Beyond Display Some brands have their sights set on adding search, digital video, or social to in-house capabilities, signifying a long-term commitment to expanding programmatic functions beyond display

These in-housing challenges surfaced during brand interviews:

- Cross-Channel Strategy Disconnect One brand expressed concern about developing inhouse silos where specific digital advertising channels are planned and executed in isolation (e.g. separate display and search) and across media (e.g., digital and TV)
- Internal Resources For the most part, in-house staff for tactical activities such as optimization, placement, and results reporting is in short supply; media agency partners generally fill this gap



### **UK Survey Highlights**

- UK and Italian Brands Lead European Programmatic 71 percent of UK brands and 72 percent of Italian brands are programmatically active, above the 65 percent European norm. Meanwhile, German (48 percent) and French brands (63 percent) registered the lowest programmatic buying engagement, reflecting the heavy focus on consumer privacy in those two countries.
- Non-Programmatic Brands Are Planning Evaluation 53 percent of European brands currently not programmatically active are likely to explore programmatic within the next 12 months, signifying further potential in-housing growth.
- 86 Percent of Programmatic-Active Brands Have In-House Capability In-house setups are divided by brands having fully moved buying functions in-house (39 percent) versus those with partial capabilities (47 percent) involving media agency partners. At 88 percent, the UK's in-house rate reflects the European norm.
- GDPR Nominally Affected Programmatic Ad Spending Nearly two-thirds of UK brands indicated that programmatic ad spending actually increased during the eight months following GDPR inception, suggesting that marketplace demand softened GDPR impact.
- **GDPR Positively Affected Data Quality** 76 percent of UK brands reported some level of data quality improvement, the highest across all five markets and likely achieved through more precise verification of consumers who consent to be tracked and reported.
- **GDPR Had Moderate Impact on Data Loss** Only 7 percent of UK brands felt strongly that the volume of targeting data declined following GDPR inception, a level less than one half the European 18 percent norm.
- **Drivers of Programmatic In-Housing** The primary motivations for programmatic inhousing cited by UK brands surveyed were found to be cost efficiency, audience targeting, and campaign effectiveness, all of which are wrapped in brands' desire to drive business by controlling their own destiny.
- The Dynamics of Programmatic In-Housing Brands tend to prioritize in-house resource
  allocations for strategic programmatic activities but favor working with partners when
  executing tactical and technical programmatic functions, where full in-house capability
  investment requirements are substantial. This strategy-focused approach maximizes brands'
  control at the lowest investment/cost.
  - Strategic/Managerial Functions More Likely to Be Internal Higher-level programmatic functions, including media strategy, audience planning, and validation, establishing KPIs, data science, and direct control of contracts with DMPs and DSPs, comprised the bottom half of the list of outsourced activities.
  - Brands Prefer to Outsource the Tactical Middle Ground The brands surveyed were moderately more likely to outsource trafficking, campaign analysis, and optimization than



strategic/managerial functions. Many of these tactical activities could be shared with one or more agency or DSP partners.

• **Highly Specialized Technology Most Likely to Be Outsourced** – Not surprisingly, brands were more prone to outsource highly-specialized programmatic functions such as data management, technology build-outs, and training.

#### **Background, Objectives and Defining Programmatic**

Programmatic advertising execution has become the norm for targeting and placing digital advertising, at scale and with minimal overhead. During the past few years, marketers have increasingly brought programmatic ad placement functions in-house. In May 2018, the IAB and Accenture Interactive issued "Programmatic In-Housing: Benefits, Challenges, and Key Steps to Building Internal Capabilities," a U.S.-based report that provides key insights into a wide spectrum of core in-housing aspects: the prevalence of in-housing, benefits to brands, challenges, depth of in-house functions, and the planning and building of an internal operation. The 2018 study found that programmatic strategy is most deeply integrated into their organizations, while tech stack, media tactics, and execution are outsourced to partners at data management firms, media agencies, and demand-side platforms (DSPs), respectively.

During February and March of 2019, a version of the U.S. survey was conducted in five European markets: France, Germany, Spain, Italy, and the UK, which also added questions about the impact of GDPR and future programmatic planning.

This report was conducted by the IAB Data Center of Excellence and aims to facilitate meaningful conversations among buyers, sellers, and vendors about the current landscape and evolution of brands' programmatic in-housing trend and promote informed evaluation and guidance related to this practice. The key objectives of this report are:

- To examine how programmatic in-housing has evolved to date in the UK
- To identify benefits/drivers of in-housing practices for brands
- To provide detail on the types and level of programmatic in-house buying functions

The insights in this whitepaper are based on a synthesis of perspectives from subject matter experts, gathered through one-on-one interviews as well as a quantitative IAB survey. Participants represented brands and presided over programmatic ad placement at their organizations.

**Programmatic Interpretation** – When asking about brands' transactional activities, the survey questionnaire used the simple word "programmatic" which may be broadly interpreted by respondents given the continuing expansion of programmatic across display, search, video, etc. So, brands' survey responses are likely to include any programmatic activity that fell within the digital media spectrum.



#### PROLIFERATION OF PROGRAMMATIC


### **Programmatic Ad Spending: Healthy in the UK**

Programmatic display ad spending in the UK continues its steady ascent and will account for nearly 90 percent of all digital display ad expenditures by 2020 according to eMarketer. This spending trajectory is similar in direction for search, mobile, and digital video. Programmatic ad buying is the de facto method for digital ad transactions in European markets. And as digital marketing and advertising dollars surpass other media forms, programmatic in-housing becomes attractive for brands that desire to more closely control their digital advertising strategy and outcomes.



# UK Programmatic Digital Display Ad Spending 2016–2020

billions of £, % change and % of total digital display ad spending \*



Note: Digital display ads transacted via an API, including everything from publisher-erected APIs to more standardized RTB technology; includes native ads and ads on social networks like Facebook, Snapchat, and Twitter; includes advertising that appears on the desktop/laptop computers, mobile phones, tablets, and the other internet-connected devices; \*includes banners, rich media, sponsorship, video, and other.

Source: eMarketer, December 2018

#### **Digital Diversification**


During our interviews with brands, one brand marketer sees programmatic in-housing starting with display and expanding to other digital marketing and advertising forms, such as search and social media buys. "We're not just thinking about what all this (in-housing) looks like long-term programmatically, it's what does it start to look like long-term digital" a brand marketer said.

#### **UK and Italian Brands Lead European Programmatic**

The Ipsos MORI survey of advertisers in five European markets found that seven out of ten UK and Italian brands were currently involved in programmatic ad buying, well above the 65 percent norm across Europe. At 48 percent, German brands registered the lowest programmatic buying engagement rate while Italy and the UK were far above the norm. The German Data Protection Act, considered one of the strictest in the world, has been in effect for decades and may explain




why reported programmatic activity is lower in Germany than in other European markets. France, another market with heightened consumer privacy, was about average in terms of brands' programmatic activity.



#### **Non-Programmatic Brands Planning Evaluation**

Across the five markets, more than half (53 percent) of brands not currently buying programmatically are likely to investigate programmatic transactions within the next 12 months, with the UK slightly above the norm (58 percent). German brands (38 percent) and French brands (46 percent) expressed the lowest rate of interest in exploring programmatic execution, likely reflecting the degree of privacy concerns in those markets. Conversely, non-programmatic brands in Italy and Spain expressed the strongest intent to pursue programmatic in the future.






#### **Depth of In-Housing Programmatic Varies**

A fully autonomous in-house programmatic capability is one where the ad-serving tech stack is owned and/or operated by the brand and integrated with media strategy, ad operations, optimization, and stewardship – all <u>internal</u> functions. When defined in this way, full-bore inhouse operations are atypical, given the commitment of time, resources, and expertise required for most companies. For this report, in-housing is defined as having completely or partially moved programmatic buying functions in-house, keeping in mind that IAB survey respondents may take a more liberal view of qualification criteria for an in-house operation, perhaps focusing more on strategic functions than tech stack, for example. The level of in-house programmatic engagement runs the gamut from full immersion of functions to hybrid setups that combine both in-house and partners for ad execution.

Within the 65 percent of brands reporting to be programmatically active, a significantly large portion, 86 percent across all five markets, have either fully or partially moved programmatic buying functions in-house and plan to continue this course of action. At 88 percent, the UK inhouse rate was slightly above the norm. Following provides additional detail on the depth of inhousing:

- Completely Moved In-House Italian brands (41 percent) and French brands (43 percent) were more likely to have fully moved programmatic buying in-house. UK followed closely behind those two countries at 40 percent.
- Tried but Decided to Outsource Overall, Spain was least likely to set up an in-house operation and more apt to go the outsource route after internal testing: 20 percent of Spanish brands conducted in-housing trials and decided to outsource, nearly double the brand norm across the five markets. Italy was close behind in this regard.
- No Plans to Bring Programmatic In-House Just 2 percent of brands across the five markets will continue to depend on media agencies and DSPs to execute their programmatic functions.





Our discussions with marketplace leaders depicted an evolutionary process of in-housing, whereby brands are situated at the crawl, walk, and run stages of development. A brand marketer, for example, will be testing in-housing in one or two markets to decide whether they would like to move forward. The test involves these key steps:

- Internal Business Skill Set Review This assessment was conducted by an independent consultant to identify potential internal capability gaps and how best to fill them.
- **Tech Stack Evaluation** This process involves examining existing data management capabilities, including the types of customer, advertising, and sales data available across internal and external sources, with the goal of achieving "greater control, visibility of what's happening from a media buyer's perspective," according to the brand marketplace leader.
- Agency Partner Selection One global media agency was chosen for the brand firm's business; the process involved an assessment of how the media agency would fit with a future in-housing operation.


Another brand marketer, meanwhile, has just passed the 18-month mark for bringing programmatic functions in-house, most notably data management and media strategy activities. According to our brand marketplace leader, the first 12 months were spent putting automation in place that supports all of the buying activities, which has now freed up more time for focusing on advertising strategy. Executional tasks such as optimization and stewardship are carried out by media agency partners. The firm has pledged a long-term commitment to developing internal programmatic capabilities as the initiative is part of a larger plan to consolidate and globalize their website, a plan that is folded under the five-year corporate blueprint.

Both of these marketers are mentioning four of the five core steps that brands need to take when in-housing, which were identified in last year's (2018) study of in-housing in the U.S.



#### DRIVERS OF PROGRAMMATIC IN-HOUSING

When brands are considering in-housing programmatic, the perceived benefits of internalizing the capability must be sufficiently attractive to justify the planning, investment, and staff commitment required to go forward. When UK brands were asked to identify the top three benefits of an in-house operation, cost efficiency, superior audience targeting, and campaign effectiveness were high on the list, which figured closely with U.S. rankings from the 2018 study.



#### **Brand Destiny**

Control of a brand's destiny is an underlying theme that ties together all drivers that motivate marketers to bring programmatic in-house. "We have enough knowledge in our internal team to recognize how we want to work, what type of audience we want to focus on, and we are managing all our campaigns, so there is absolutely no way and no reason why we would go back and re-outsource any of those capabilities," said a brand marketing leader. Another attractive aspect of in-housing is the notion that internal staff are much closer to the brand in terms of both resources and human allegiance. Presumably, a brand insider would be armed with more internal tools and possess sufficient corporate solidarity to be more productive than an outsider. One brand marketing leader suggests asking the question: "How much would an FTE (full time equivalent) cost if we had them sitting at an agency versus if we had them sitting in our organization, much closer to our business?"

#### Globalization

Brands with worldwide businesses appear to be setting their sights on globalization of programmatic in-housing, an insight that emerged during brand discussions. One brand marketer is baking programmatic in-housing into its long-term global plans for centralizing and consolidating website and digital advertising initiatives. Another marketer expressed the need to



test locally but think globally, with an eye towards harmonizing reporting taxonomy across markets, for example. The ultimate goal is to understand test results within the wider context of global measurement to inform how to roll out and expand internal programmatic capabilities.

#### THE DEGREES OF PROGRAMMATIC IN-HOUSING

Programmatic in-housing can be defined in degrees of programmatic capability immersion rather than absolutes. The quintessential in-housing setup, where an ad tech stack sits within the brand organization along with media strategy, ad operations, optimization, and stewardship is relatively uncommon. Instead, the level of in-housing is marked by a varied mix of programmatic capabilities that tie to internal subject matter expertise, resources, organizational complexity, and digital and data acumen.

Evaluating the rate of outsourcing of specific programmatic functions provides an indication of types of activities that brands deem vital and handled in-house. Following are the key degrees of programmatic in-housing seen through the lens of outsourcing activities; these UK findings fall in line with what was reported in the 2018 U.S. report.


- Strategic/managerial functions are more likely to be internal. Higher-level programmatic
  functions, including media strategy, audience planning and validation, establishing KPIs,
  data science, and direct control of contracts with DMPs and DSPs, comprised the bottom
  half of the list of outsourced activities.
- Tactical activities are shared or outsourced. The brands surveyed were moderately more likely to outsource trafficking, campaign analysis and optimization than strategic/managerial functions. Many of these tactical activities could be shared with one or more agency or DSP partners.
- Highly specialized technology is most likely to be outsourced. Not surprisingly, brands
  were more prone to outsource highly-specialized programmatic functions such as data
  management, technology build-outs, and training.





#### **UK Programmatic In-Housing: Outsourced Functions**

Outsourced Programmatic Advertising Functions (Currently Buying In-House)



#### **Anatomy of Partnerships**


Survey responses demonstrated brands' focus on gaining control of the more strategic aspects of the programmatic process with tactical and technical activities most likely to be outsourced. For example, a brand's DMP is arguably its most strategic source of marketplace leverage for developing distinct consumer segments and messaging strategies that drive incremental advertising productivity. But extracting full value from the DMP can be a collaborative process between a brand and outside partner. One marketer of insurance, for example, taps its DMP to develop consumer segments with input from its media agency, who then manages the process of target activation with a DSP partner: "We set the audiences in the DMP with the relevant data we have. Then we talk with the media agency just to be on the same page and to decide the media strategy together, we establish the audiences, and then we create the audiences in the DMP and push them into the DSP." In this case, the media and targeting strategies evolve both internally (informed by DMP data) and with the media agency's input.

Establishing a digital budget within the context of cross channel planning is another example of where brands may tap their media agencies for strategic input. "We're very much at the moment reliant on the tools and the technologies from our agencies to give us the best steer on what that investment currently should look like," said one brand market leader.

Taking a closer look at partner relationships, brands reported having direct contractual relationships with DSPs, however, they were likely to have their media agencies assume an intermediary role with DSPs for campaign execution. Across all markets, 65 percent of brands reported that their in-house team presides over ad execution; UK and Spain in-house involvement was highest at 69 percent while Germany's 56 percent marked the low. During



brand discussions, one CPG marketer conveyed the goal of gaining more direct control of the DSP relationship during the next 18 months, both in terms of contract and data management.



#### **FIVE THINGS BRANDS SHOULD DO**

We asked our industry experts what key things brands should do when considering in-housing programmatic. Here are the five recommendations that stood above the rest:

- 1. Conduct a two-level internal assessment.
  - Media Performance Brands should assess how digital advertising is making a
    contribution to their marketing objectives and how programmatic in-housing may help to
    improve that performance. Even if the brand is outsourcing programmatic buying, it pays
    to check the quality of the placements. A CPG advertiser told us that brands should be
    asking what portion of people exposed to a campaign received the desired ad frequency
    rather than the average frequency across all viewers: "The difference is huge, and
    savings on frequency control alone can pay for an in-house program."
  - Internal Cost-Benefit Analysis After taking stock of media performance, brands should invoke a fiscally-disciplined assessment of how in-housing could impact costs and revenues. A financial services leader advised the following: "A good first step is just a matter of doing an economic assessment of viability of in-house versus using an external party, whether it's a managed service DSP, a traditional media agency, or channel specialist agency. The economic assessment evaluates internal resources required to cover for all essential agency-provided services."



- 2. Create a ramp-up plan. The in-housing process requires at least a full year to achieve operational readiness, including months of planning, organizational consensus, talent acquisition, and platform testing. One industry leader recommends an extra-time allowance to help get the operation on track: "We opened up a center of excellence where we were given more runway than the traditional organization would have to innovate and test and learn." Make a time and resource commitment by creating a structured plan that lays out key timelines and milestones that everyone can follow.
- 3. Practice data centricity and integrate multiple data sources. An organization must cultivate a state of "data centricity," where people, platforms, partners, and processes are brought together to apply audience data as an actionable insight (The Data-Centric Organization, IAB 2018). At their extremes, data-centric practices can be either insular to the organization (first-party data only) or blend in third-party sources. Either way, success depends heavily on developing data management platform (DMP) vitality and a steady, consistent dialogue between DMP managers and marcom and media team end users for best results. A financial services brand, for example, recommends holding tight internal reins on customer data to achieve superior results: "Make sure you own the data, that is crucial. Marketers have a lot of data in-house and they cannot share all of it with external partners. Giving the digital marketing team access to everything provides more data points and allows them to understand how their advertising efforts are really driving business growth whereas. with external partners they won't have full access." Another marketer points out the benefit of integrating all sources of data to achieve success: "We wanted to have the ability to have full control of that data flow but really the introduction of our first-, second-, and third-party data into the DSP has been a real game changer." A truly data-centric organization understands the trade-offs of working primarily with internal data versus blending with thirdparty sources.
- 4. **Establish a tech stack.** Assess whether to stand up an internal operation and/or outsource to a partner. Ensure potential partners are a good match for the brand's advertising strategies:
  - Technical Ability Flawless execution is ideal but keeping ad serving foibles to a
    minimum is more the reality. According to one industry leader, "The ad operations team,
    along with clean business practices, are the two chosen hallmarks when I look at a
    company to partner with."
  - Testing for Proof Positive Prospective partners should be tested prior to inking an
    agreement. As one financial marketer put it: "We'll test them first on a managed service
    and have their team run it and then if we see performance in the first month that's when
    we'll get it to compliance and contracts to bring that DSP in for self-serve. I always want
    to be testing, always want to be learning."
  - **Media Inventory** In the words of one marketer: "Technology partners need to have access to unique media inventory that others don't; outside of Google, Facebook, and Amazon, there are not many that can offer inventory at scale."



- Support Commitment Partners should clearly understand the expected level of support. According to one marketer: "I always tell vendors that if I'm losing sleep at night because a program isn't operating as it should be, I want to make sure that they're also losing sleep."
- 5. Attract and retain talent. Brands looking to in-house programmatic should assess who can quickly be trained internally, whether their company is located in an area that can attract talent and whether in-house or external recruiters have the proper skill set to get the job done. One marketer we interviewed stresses the importance of getting talent selection right: "Make sure you hire the right talent because building a tech stack and capabilities in-house is just half of the battle. If you don't have the right talent then it's not going to work." Another leader emphasizes the importance of talent retention: "So, I think that the one no brainer is staffing. Are you able to attract the right talent and also are you able to retain that talent?" A CPG brand marketer adds, "If you bring someone in-house and they leave, the intellectual capital goes out the door and who's going to run your media for you?"


#### **NAVIGATING GDPR**

May 25, 2018 marked the day that GDPR laws went into effect in Europe, triggering massive compliance for firms to give consumers more control over the collection and use of their personal data. For advertisers and publishers, GDPR requires gaining consent for all types of tracking mechanisms used in targeting including IP address, cookies, location coordinates, and device IDs. The onset of GDPR heightened the possibility that European brands' targeting abilities would be blunted by consumers withholding consent for tracking.

#### Importance of GDPR Compliance

Our survey of brands collected vital information on the importance and impact of GDPR eight months after its inception. Virtually all brands (99 percent) considered GDPR compliance to be critical, with 67 percent expressing that it was extremely or somewhat (32 percent) important. One marketer conveyed the need to maintain GDPR compliance during programmatic transactions. "There's an element of fear when you're doing in-housing, that you don't have the agency to fall back on when something goes wrong. So GDPR and brand safety are two fundamental in-housing elements that need to be developed."





#### **GDPR Impact on Data Quality**

Prior to GDPR, the advertising industry was concerned about the potential loss of targeting capabilities, given legal requirements that advertisers and publishers gain consent for tracking and reporting consumer digital activities, as well as permissible uses of customer data. For the most part, GDPR's impact on data and reporting was nominal on a number of measures, while actually accruing some benefits:


**Improved Data Quality** – 76 percent of UK brands reported some level of data quality improvement, the highest across all five markets and likely achieved through more precise verification of consumers who consent to be tracked and reported. According to one brand marketer, GDPR had a positive impact on data quality because "it allows us to get access to more qualified categories of people for our loyalty programs, those who are using our service on a regular basis."



#### **GDPR: Impact on Data Quality**

Impact on Data Quality 8 Months After GDPR






**Nominal Data Volume Loss** – Only 7 percent of UK brands felt strongly that the volume of targeting data declined following GDPR inception, a level less than one half the European 18 percent norm. On the opposite side of the spectrum, 26 percent of Italian brands felt they suffered data volume loss more acutely.



#### **GDPR: Impact on Data Volume Loss**

Impact on Data Volume Loss 8 Months After GDPR


#### I have lost data volume since GDPR





#### **GDPR Impact on Programmatic Ad Spending**

It appears that marketplace advertising demand played a role in quelling the potential drag that GDPR would impose on programmatic ad activity. Across Europe, over two-thirds of brands indicated that programmatic ad spending actually increased during the eight months following GDPR inception, with UK spending activity reflecting the five-market norm.



#### **GDPR Impact on Consumer Trust**

UK brands reported higher gains in consumer trust than all other European markets. 74 percent of UK brands felt they had at least some positive effect on consumer trust with the introduction of GDPR versus the 68 percent five market average. Driving this leadership position was the 31 percent of UK brands that felt strongly about moving the needle on trust, which was significantly north of the European average.






#### **GDPR: Impact on User Trust**

Impact on User Trust 8 Months After GDPR

#### I have gained more user trust since GDPR



Source: Ipsos MORI Omnibus, March 2019
Base: Brands that have brought programmatic advertising in-house; EUR: 521 GE: 93, FR: 123, IT: 140, SP: 107, UK: 58





#### **APPENDIX**

#### Methodology

#### **IAB Programmatic In-Housing Survey**

- The quantitative results are based on the Ipsos MORI Omnibus Survey fielded in March 2019.
- 950 European-based brand representatives participated in the survey. The respondents represent media decision makers across all job titles and 16 major ad categories.
- All are qualified as involved in making digital, television, mobile, radio, and/or print media decisions.

### IAB Programmatic In-Housing Thought Leadership Interviews

- Gerard Broussard of Pre-Meditated Media, LLC conducted 4 one-on-one thought leadership interviews with advertisers and consultants that oversee and manage programmatic media buying and selling capabilities.
- The interviews lasted 30 minutes long and were conducted in March to April 2019.

#### **IAB Programmatic In-Housing Report Development**

 Pre-Meditated Media, LLC authored the study by integrating insights from the Ipsos MORI Omnibus Survey, executive interviews, and industry research.



### **ABOUT US**



The Interactive Advertising Bureau (IAB) empowers the media and marketing industries to thrive in the digital economy. Its membership is comprised of more than 650 leading media and technology companies that are responsible for selling, delivering, and optimizing digital advertising or marketing campaigns. The trade group fields critical research on interactive advertising, while also educating brands, agencies, and the wider business community on the importance of digital marketing. In affiliation with the IAB Tech Lab, it develops technical standards and best practices. IAB and the IAB Education Foundation are committed to professional development and elevating the knowledge, skills, expertise, and diversity of the workforce across the industry. Through the work of its public policy office in Washington, D.C., IAB advocates for its members and promotes the value of the interactive advertising industry to legislators and policymakers. There are 43 IABs licensed to operate in nations around the world and one regional IAB, in Europe. Founded in 1996, IAB is headquartered in New York.



The IAB Data Center of Excellence is an independently funded and staffed unit within IAB, founded to enhance existing IAB resources and to drive the "data agenda" for the digital media, marketing, and advertising industry. IAB Data's mission is to define boundaries, reduce friction, and increase value along the data chain, for consumers, marketers, and the ecosystem that supports them.

For more information on how to get involved, please contact data@iab.com.

## **Accenture** Interactive

Accenture Interactive helps the world's leading brands transform their customer experiences across the entire customer journey. Through their connected offerings in design, marketing, content, and commerce, they create new ways to win in today's experience-led economy. To learn more, follow them @AccentureACTIVE and visit <a href="https://www.accenture.com/us-en/services/interactive-index">https://www.accenture.com/us-en/services/interactive-index</a>.